Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (original): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

detecting attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object;

identifying media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained

from each of the stored media objects that are related to the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 2 (original): The method according to claim 1, wherein the detecting step comprises:

detecting attributes of the media object generated when the media object was captured.

Claim 3 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the organizing step

includes storing the media object in the database, and wherein the method further comprising:

comprises assigning at least one attribute to the metadata for the media object prior to storing the

media object.

Claim 4 (original): The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

assigning at least one attribute to the metadata for the media object based upon the

inference.

Claim 5 (original): The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

detecting common features of the stored media objects;

identifying the stored media objects that have common features; and

eliminating the stored media objects that are not identified prior to inferring the

organizing information.

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

Claim 6 (original): The method according to claim 5, further comprising:

adding information to the attributes of the metadata of the media object based upon the common features of the stored media objects.

Claim 7 (original): The method according to claim 6, further comprising:

adding information to the metadata of the media object indicating that the organization information for the media object was determined based upon an inference.

Claim 8 (original): The method according to claim 1, further comprising:

adding information to the metadata of the media object indicating that the organization information for the media object was determined based upon an inference.

Claim 9 (currently amended): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

determining attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object;

determining a date on which the media object was captured, wherein the date comprises one of the attributes of the media object;

comparing the date with threshold date information;

identifying media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object based upon the comparison;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information, obtained from each of the stored media objects related to the media object, and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 10 (currently amended): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

determining attributes of the media object indicated in the media adata for the media object;

determining a date on which the media object was captured, wherein the date comprises

one of the attributes of the media object;

comparing the date on which the media object was captured with entries in a date book;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon the comparison; and organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The method according to claim $9\underline{10}$, wherein the comparing step comprises:

comparing the date on which the media object was captured with entries in a global date book.

Claim 12 (original): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising: capturing a media object;

detecting attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object;

performing an inexact search of the database based upon at least one of the attributes of the media object to identify media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects that are related to the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 13 (currently amended): The method according to claim 12, wherein the inexact logic search step comprises:

performing an inexact search of the database based upon a date on which the media object was captured, wherein the date comprises one of the attributes of the media object.

Claim 14 (currently amended): The method according to claim 12, wherein the inexact logic search step comprises:

performing an inexact search of the database based upon a location at which where the media object was captured, wherein the location comprises one of the attributes of the media object.

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

Claim 15 (original): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

comparing the media object with media objects that are stored in the database;

identifying the stored media objects in the database that include features in common with the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information, obtained from each of the media objects including features in common with the media object, representing organization in the database; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 16 (currently amended): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

identifying a feature of the media object;

comparing the feature of the media object with stored media objects that are stored in the database;

identifying the stored media objects having the identified feature;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>found inof</u> the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 17 (currently amended): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising:

capturing a media object;

identifying a feature of the media object;

performing an inexact search to detect stored media objects that are stored in the database

having the identified feature identified in of the media object;

identifying the <u>stored_media</u> objects having the <u>identified_in_of_the</u> media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon in formation information obtained from each of the stored media objects having the feature identified in the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 18 (original): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

detecting attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object; identifying media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object; inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects that are related to the media object; and organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 19 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 18, wherein computer-executable instructions for performing-the detecting determining step comprises:

detecting attributes of the media object generated when the media object was captured.

Claim 20 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 18, wherein the organizing step includes storing the media object in the database, the computer-readable medium having further computer-executable instructions for performing the steps—step of assigning at least one attribute to the metadata for the media object prior to storing the media object.

Claim 21 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 18, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the steps-step of:

assigning at least one attribute to the metadata for the media object based upon the inference.

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

Claim 22 (original): The computer-readable medium according to claim 18, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

detecting common features of the stored media objects;

identifying the stored media objects that have common features; and

eliminating the stored media objects that are not identified prior to inferring the organizing information.

Claim 23 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 22, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the <u>steps-step</u> of:

adding information to the attributes of the metadata of the media object based upon the common features of the stored media objects.

Claim 24 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 23, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the steps-step of:

adding information to the metadata of the media object indicating that the organization information for the media object was determined based upon an inference.

Claim 25 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 18, having further computer-executable instructions for performing the steps step of:

adding information to the metadata of the media object indicating that the organization information for the media object was determined based upon an inference.

Claim 26 (currently amended): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

determining attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object;

determining the <u>a</u> date on which the media object was captured, wherein the date comprises one of the attributes of the media object;

comparing the date with threshold date information;

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

identifying stored media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object based upon the comparison;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects related to the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 27 (currently amended): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

determining attributes of the media object indicated in the-metadata for the media object; determining the <u>a</u> date on which the media object was eapturecaptured, wherein the date comprises one of the attributes of the media object;

comparing the date on which the media object was captured with entries in a date book; inferring organization information for the media object based upon the comparison; and and organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 28 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 27, wherein the computer-executable instructions for performing the comparing step comprises:

comparing the date on which the media object was captured with entries in a global date book.

Claim 29 (original): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

detecting attributes of the media object indicated in metadata for the media object;

performing an inexact search of the database based upon at least one of the attributes of the media object to identify stored media objects stored in the database that are related to the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects that are related to the media object; and

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 30 (original): The computer-readable medium according to claim 29, wherein the computer-executable instructions for performing the inexact logic search step comprises:

performing an inexact search of the database based upon a date on which the media object was captured, wherein the date comprises one of the attributes of the media object.

Claim 31 (currently amended): The computer-readable medium according to claim 29, wherein the computer-executable instructions for performing the inexact logic-search step comprises:

performing an inexact search of the database based upon a location at which where the media object was captured, wherein the location comprises one of the attributes of the media object.

Claim 32 (original): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

comparing the media object with stored media objects that are stored in the database;

identifying the stored media objects in the database that include features in common with the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the media objects including features in common with the media object; and organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 33 (currently amended): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

identifying a feature of the media object;

comparing the feature of the media object with stored media objects that are stored in the database;

Amendment dated July 20, 2004

Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

identifying the stored media objects having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>found inof</u> the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>found inof</u> the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 34 (original): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps of:

capturing a media object;

identifying a feature of the media object;

performing an inexact search to detect stored media objects that are stored in the database having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>identified in of</u> the media object;

identifying the stored media objects having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>ofidentified</u> in the media object;

inferring organization information for the media object based upon information obtained from each of the stored media objects having the <u>identified</u> feature <u>ofidentified</u> in the media object; and

organizing the media object in the database based upon the inference.

Claim 35 (currently amended): A method of organizing media objects in a database, comprising: detecting a capture time for each of the media objects to be organized; sorting the media objects in-based upon the capture time to generate a sorted list; comparing the capture time of each of the media objects with a reference value; and grouping the media objects in the database based upon the comparison.

Claim 36 (currently amended): The method according to claim 35, wherein the comparing step comprises:

determining whether the capture time of the each of the media objects is within a predetermined time period from the reference value; and

Claim 37 (original): The method according to claim 36, further comprising:

selecting a representative media object from the at least one of the media objects grouped in the collection for use as a user interface.

Claim 38 (original): The method according to claim 37, further comprising:

repeating the comparing step, the grouping step and the selecting step for each of the media objects in the sorted list.

Claim 39 (original): The method according to claim 35, further comprising:

setting a reference value to a predetermined value;

determining whether the capture time of a first one of the media objects in the sorted list is within a predetermined time period from the reference value;

grouping the first one of the media objects into a collection when the capture time of the first one of the media objects is within the predetermined time period from the reference value;

updating the reference value to the capture time of the first one of the media objects in the sorted list to generate an updated reference value; and

repeating the determining step, the grouping step and the updating step for each of the media objects in the sorted list.

Claim 40 (original): The method according to claim 39, further comprising:

creating a new collection when the capture time of any one of the media objects from the sorted list is not within the predetermined time period from the updated reference value.

Claim 41 (original): The method according to claim 40, further comprising:

selecting a representative media object from the collection and from each new collection for use as a user interface.

Appln. No.: 10/021,255 Amendment dated July 20, 2004 Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2004

Claims 42-46 (canceled)